

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,304	02/12/2004	Kai Donsbach	I/1268b-1-C1	5299
28501	7590 09/06/2005		EXAMINER	
	P. MORRIS	STOCKTON, LAURA		
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION 900 RIDGEBURY ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P. O. BOX 3	- -	1626		
RIDGEFIEI	LD, CT 06877-0368		DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of animary be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statulory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statulory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statulory minimum of thirty (30) days, and the replication to become ARABONED (30) days. (5, 133). Provided the statulor of the statulory provided laptor and the special control of the communication. Fallium to suply within the sactor extended period for reply with the realing date of the communication. Fallium to suply within the sactor extended period for reply within the realing date of the communication. Fallium to suply within the sactor extended prediction. Fallium to the sactor of the sactor of the communication. As a communication of the sactor of the sactor of the communication. Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D. 1626 Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D. 1626 Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE Of THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MALINE DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is less than intrity (30) days, a reply which ne a stateble incider to minimize the period for reply specified above is less than intrity (30) days, a reply which ne a stateble period for reply specified above is less than intrity (30) days, a reply which ne a stateble period for reply specified above is less than intrity (30) days, a reply which ne a stateble period for reply specified periods for reply specified periods for reply specified periods for reply specified periods for reply the state of sended periods for reply specified periods for reply replicated periods for reply in 15 y statute, cause in a specified in the board and shall period and shall period the state of replication period periods period for reply specified periods for reply replicated periods for reply in 15 y statute, cause in a specified in the board and shall periods. Any nety received by the Communication. **Proceedings** The specified in the state of the scornward state in the replication of the state in the specified period for replication. **Application of Claims** **Disposition of Claims** **Dispo	Office Action Comment	10/777,304	DONSBACH ET AL.				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estandarion of time may be available used the sprovision of 37 CFR 1.738(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled by the second of the produce of the provision of 37 CFR 1.738(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled by the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (00) days, a reply within the statistory period will apply and will explice (50) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly will be considered filled. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly will apply and will explice (50) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly will be considered filled. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly will apply and will explice (50) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly will apply and will explice (50) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly will apply and will explice the control of the communication. Failure to reply septiment. Set of the communication. Provided the mailing of the set of the communication. **Status** **Notice that the provided and the set of the communication. **Application is action is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Explication. **Application of the above claim(s) is a start with the practice under Explication for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Explication for the set of the provided provided and the set of the explication for the set	Unice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time many be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - Extensions of time many be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statelory period vil apply and will expire SN (6) MONTHS from the mailing about of this communication. - Failure is religiously within his set or deemined period for right with py statuke, used he application become abbattOptic SU 3.5. C, \$ 133. - armed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(a). - Status - I) NO period for reply is application in a condition for allowance accept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - A) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. - 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. - 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. - 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. - 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. - 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. - 8)							
THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherbook of time may be available under the provision of 3°CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied after DIX (6) MONTISE from the mailing date of this communication. The provision of the provis	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on June 13, 2005. 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of: □ ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. □ ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statum period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>June 13, 2005</u> .						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parle Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
Application of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ○ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ○ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) ○ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ○ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ○ All b) ○ Some * c) ○ None of: 1. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ○ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		·					
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are ejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Altachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Altachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		<u> </u>					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Prafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) I Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Output	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Output							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Outline Outline							
Attachment(s) I) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Attachment(s)						
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) 🔲 Interview Summa	iry (PTO-413)				
p) 니 information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of PTO/SB/08)	2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:			Fatent Application (FTO-192)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-3 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeGasparo et al. {WO 97/31634} and Hauel et al. {U.S. Pat. 5,594,003}, each taken alone or in combination with each other.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP \$2141.01)

Applicants claim the hydrochloric acid, hydrobromic acid, toluenesulphonic acid and the methanesulphonic acid addition salts of Telmisartan. DeGasparo et al.

(pages 5, 8 and 12) and Hauel et al. (compound D in column 52, lines 47-49; Example 9 in column 60; column 51, lines 1-7; and column 56, lines 23-43) each teach the hydrochloric acid, hydrobromic acid, toluenesulphonic acid and the methanesulphonic acid addition salts of Telmisartan.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The difference between the instant claimed compounds and the compounds in the prior art is that the prior art generically describes the various salts of Telmisartan.

Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP \$2142-2413)

The indiscriminate selection of "some" among "many" is prima facie obvious, <u>In re Lemin</u>, 141 USPQ 814 (1964). The motivation to make the claimed compounds derives from the expectation that structurally similar

compounds would possess similar activity (e.g., angiotensin antagonists).

One skilled in the art would thus be motivated to prepare products embraced by the prior art to arrive at the instant claimed products with the expectation of obtaining additional beneficial products which would be useful in treating, for example, arteriosclerosis.

Since each of DeGasparo et al. and Hauel et al. teach the salts of Telmisartan and that Telmisartan is useful in treating, for example, arteriosclerosis, the combination of the prior art would also teach the instant claimed invention. The instant claimed invention would have been suggested and therefore, obvious to one skilled in the art. A strong case of prima facie obviousness has been established.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed June 13, 2005 have been fully considered. Applicants argue that: (1) DeGasparo

et al. and Haul et al. assume that a number of the disclosed compounds will be able to form acid addition salts; (2) Example 9 in DeGasparo et al. is the compound telmisartan however no acid addition salt is disclosed; (3) DeGasparo et al. do not specifically teach which of the disclosed AT₁ receptor antagonists fulfill the criteria of possessing a basic center which can form acid addition salts; and (4) since Telmisartan is an AT₁ receptor antagonist which carries an acidic carboxy group, neither from the teaching of Hauel et al. nor from the teaching of Hauel et al. in combination with DeGasparo et al. is it possible for persons skilled in the art to determine whether telmisartan is able to form acid addition salts as disclosed and taught in the instant application.

All of Applicants' arguments have been considered but have not been found persuasive. Applicants claim the hydrochloric acid, hydrobromic acid, toluenesulphonic acid and the methanesulphonic acid

addition salts of Telmisartan. DeGasparo et al. (page 5) and Hauel et al. (column 51, lines 1-7) each teach that Telmisartan can be in the form of a pharmaceutically acceptable salt. Further, Hauel et al. disclose carboxylic acid containing compounds in a pharmaceutically acceptable salt form. See compound K in column 53, lines 1-3; and especially compound BB in column 53, lines 54-57. It would appear that Applicants are arguing that if a 35 USC § 102 rejection cannot be made, than a rejection under 35 USC § 103 should not be made. This is not the basis or rationale used for determining an obviousness-type rejection. Absent a showing of unobvious, unexpected and beneficial results, the instant claimed invention would have been suggested and therefore, obvious to one skilled in the art.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,304

Art Unit: 1626

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:15 am to 2:45 pm. If the examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Page 8

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620 Technology Center 1600

August 23, 2005